

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,529	08/19/2003	Kiyotaka Kobayashi	59622 (48229) 4485	
21874 75	90 08/13/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			PHAM, LONG	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Author Occurrence	10/643,529	KOBAYASHI, KIYOTAKA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Long Pham	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,8,9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/643,529

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11, and 12 in the reply filed on 06/29/04 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 3, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lou et al. (US 5,932,487).

With respect to claim 1, Lou et al. teach a semiconductor device comprising:

- a fluorine-insulating film 104 on a wiring layer 102, figs. 1-3 and associated text; and
- a fluorosilicate glass (FSG) film formed above the wiring layer and the fluorine-insulating film.

With respect to claim 3, Lou et al. further teach the fluorine-insulating film comprises an undoped silicon oxide film. See figs. 1-3 and associated text. With respect to claim 12, Lou et al. further teach the fluorine-insulating film has a thickness of about 500 angstroms. See col. 2, lines 18-20.

2. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lou et al. (US 5,932,487).

With respect to claim 2, Lou et al. teach a semiconductor device comprising:

a fluorosilicate glass (FSG) film for insulating a wiring layer 102, figs. 1-3 and associated text; and

Application/Control Number: 10/643,529 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

a first and second fluorine-insulating films 104,108 formed so as to sandwich the fluorosilicate glass (FSG) film from above and below, figs. 1-3 and associated text.

With respect to claim 8, Lou et al. further teach the first and second fluorine-insulating films comprise an undoped silicon oxide film. See figs. 1-3 and associated text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lou et al. (US 5,932,487).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,529

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 4, Lou et al. appear to fail to teach that the wiring layer is made of TiN or Ti.

However, the use of TiN or Ti as wiring layer is well-known to one skilled in the art of making semiconductor devices.

6. Claims 9 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lou et al. (US 5,932,487).

With respect to claim 9, Lou et al. appear to fail to teach that the wiring layer is made of TiN or Ti.

However, the use of TiN or Ti as wiring layer is well-known to one skilled in the art of making semiconductor devices.

With respect to claim 11, Lou et al. teach the ranges for the thicknesses for the first and second fluorine-insulating films that overlap the claimed ranges. However, in the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 19USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed.Cir. 1990).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,529 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Long Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814

LP